

1/21/2007

Nadia Temple, Director,
Ministry of Community and Social Services
10 Rideau Street, 5th Floor
Ottawa, ON K1N 9J1

Bill Ross
894 Broadview Ave.
Ottawa, Ontario
K2A-2M5
(613) 724-4544

Sharon van Son - Executive Director,
Family Responsibility Office
Ministry of Community and Social Services
P.O. Box 220, Toronto ON M3M 3A3

Vince Bevan, Chief, Ottawa Police,
474 Elgin St., Ottawa, ON., K2P 2J6

Michael Bryant, Attorney General of Ontario
Ministry of the Attorney General, Ontario
McMurtry-Scott Building
720 Bay Street, 11th Floor
Toronto, ON, M5G 2K1

Vic Toews, Attorney General of Canada
Ministry of the Attorney General, Canada
Department of Justice Canada
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8

Carol Skelton, Minister of National Revenue
Canada Revenue Agency
333 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa ON., K1A 0L9

André Marin, Ombudsman of Ontario,
Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario
Bell Trinity Square
483 Bay Street, 10th Floor, South Tower
Toronto, ON M5G 2C9

Ivan P. Fellegi
Chief Statistician, Statistics Canada
Jean Talon Building, 6th floor
170 Tunney's Pasture Driveway
OTTAWA, Ontario, K1A 0T6

Question: True cost of pointless conflict, abused children, wasted lives...?

cc: Dr. Elizabeth M. Esmond (Psychiatrist)
2446 Bank Street
Ottawa, Ont., K1V-1A4
Hand Delivered

1/21/2007

Via Registered Mail

With Extreme Prejudice;

To those whom may be under the impression it concerns them;

Regarding:

Catherine Ross.
295 St. Patrick Street #3, K1N-5K4
Ottawa, Ontario

Since 1994, I and my daughters have been suffering and doing our best to cope with illegal/immoral acts at the hands of various individuals and organizations under color of law using the false pretext of “helping”. We have had enough. I immediately demand the state to cease and desist interfering in our ability to survive and to abundantly compensate myself and family for the illegal acts that have been perpetrated against us. I am no longer asking. I am herewith and now serving notice that criminals controlling the apparatus of state and courts has not, is not, should not and will not be tolerated by myself or any other sane person. I do not accept the falsely framed arguments of “necessity”, “might is right”, “must destroy to save” and “crime is defined by whether or not the perpetrators are part of or favored by the system” underlying this and far greater matters. Neither do the majority of intelligent people on the planet. Governments admit this, since they falsely frame all of their crimes as “social good” and “necessity” as first advocated by Nicollo Machiavelli.

The only reason I have waited until now is that I needed enough peace to be able to raise my daughters properly and did not consider it my moral right to deprive them of my time, energy and emotional security required to raise them to be intelligent, personally responsible adults and therefore successful in life. This is the moral duty of ALL parents. Matters were arranged by the divorce courts such that the emotional stress, terror, time and cost of dealing with criminals and criminally complicit judges precluded being able to focus on my daughters needs. Besides, the facts of this matter have already been unambiguously proven to many judges, who chose to be political hacks, ruling against proven fact and law. The facts and law have always been and still are overwhelmingly in support of my position as necessary parent. My position was irrefutably proven from the very first day in court. I have had only strategic denial of fact and law from the courts. The courts have tried to destroy me for the simple reason that I made it clear that they have zero choice but to obey proven fact and law. The courts misinterpreted my factual statement of “you cannot enslave me or destroy my daughters prospects in life” to mean “you should not”, a mere personal opinion. I offend judges by refusing to acknowledge that they are GOD, in charge of determining who lives and dies. Judges concluded that I have a “Bad Attitude”. I am now ready to prove just how “Bad” my attitude really is. This summary is the mere tip of a very large iceberg.

It has come to my attention that the Ministry of Community and Social Services is again pressuring my ex-wife for proof that my daughters are living with her, as part of

1/21/2007

securing her "entitlements". This has again resulted in my ex-wife emotionally pressuring my youngest daughter Hilary (age 17) into participating in her frauds.

My ex-wife has again directly told Hilary that whether or not my ex-wife ends up on the street is Hilary's responsibility, requiring Hilary to lie, causing Hilary great stress. I have multiple witnesses to this effect. In 2003, my ex-wife convinced Hilary that Hilary had completely destroyed my ex-wife, Hilary was the cause of her mother's alcoholism and woes in life by choosing to live full-time with her father (myself) since 1998. As a consequence of this manipulation and innocently being unable to admit the possibility her mother was lying, Hilary concluded she was an evil child and thus unfit to live. This cost Hilary a psychotic breakdown and a three month emergency psychiatric stay at CHEO, plus a lifetime of self-esteem problems.

Dr. Esmond is helping Hilary to recover from this trauma and abuse and is fully aware of these issues, as is Children's Aid, whom have been involved seven times regarding my ex-wife, called in by third parties and professionals. The Ottawa police also have a rich history of the pointless conflict in this matter.

In the opinion of Children's Aid (Scott Fewer), "there are no child protection concerns so long as my ex-wife is not in a supervisory position over ANY child and my daughters are damn lucky that they have had me to represent their interests".

What I demand from the Ministry of Community and Social Services is to keep your relationship between my ex-wife and yourself private, so it has zero impact on my daughters or myself. In particular, make sure that whatever you demand from my ex-wife does not require involvement of myself or daughters. We have nothing to do with your client or her actions and are determined to keep it that way.

My perspective in this matter is solely as a parent trying to raise his daughters properly, defined as protecting them from predators and abusers, teaching them to be honest, objective with high standards in the area of personal responsibility and education. I have received nothing but illegal acts, punishment, opposition and interference from all elements of the state including the courts, the Family (ir)Responsibility Office and, to a minor degree, your organization working contrary to my moral teachings by creating an irresponsible environment, "proving" to my daughters that it is perfectly acceptable and has zero survival impact to choose the path of personal irresponsibility in life, as exemplified by the poor role model that my ex-wife's entitlements allows. In all of history, in the area of parenting, the only thing that has changed is the nature of the predators we must protect our children from. In this case, I must protect my daughters from the moral subversion of the state which seeks to enslave everyone by making them dependent, ignorant, lacking in freedom, will or initiative, by destroying the values of personal responsibility and accountability.

According to "your" facts, I am a deadbeat dad. The truth is, since my daughters were born, during marriage and after, I have been their primary and only caregiver, since my ex-wife negated her role by her disinterest in our children, lies, abuse, manipulations, irresponsibility and alcoholism.

1/21/2007

The history here is of the courts choosing to ignore the facts, law, my daughters best interests, professional psychiatric opinion, forged court orders, false allegations of abuse, slander, abuse of process, breach of trust, abuse of the people's power (law) to their detriment and deeming that my children's best interests was with a negligent, irresponsible, alcoholic mother and my role was as a slave, with punishing financial responsibility and negligible time or influence with my daughters. Naturally, I disagreed and was forced to choose between what the law and corrupt judges say. I chose the law. The moral mathematics of this situation was and is irrefutable. The lives of two innocent girls versus whatever cost I must pay to parent them properly, to keep them from being morally subverted by their mother and the system, slaves, caught in the pursuit of entitlements, part of a divide and conquer strategy of rule, by denying the equality provisions of law.

From another perspective, it can be accurately said that my ex-wife and I have a lot in common. Both of us are of the opinion that the state and law reneged on their "promises". She is on strike because the law promised her that if she married a "rich" guy, she would be set for life, free of responsibility. Once she became pregnant during marriage, my ex-wife realized she had me by the short and curly hairs. Co-operation or compromise were no longer possible. All of our arguments regarding alcohol abuse, family survival, disinterest in our children and personal responsibility ended with my ex-wife pulling her trump card: "Why should I listen to you, when I can file for divorce, have the children and ALL of you money and be set for life?" She "lost" her job two weeks before the first divorce court date and has not worked regularly since. The system tried very hard, but could not deliver because I quit my career as a senior researcher at Nortel (based on medical recommendation), to eliminate the stress which was precluding me from contributing at work, conflict over MY income and to be able to focus on my daughters needs. The law's interest in children's well-being is proven to be directly proportional to the financial "prize" that the legal profession can gain by creating conflict by pitting parents against each other. Litigation lasts only until the litigants are impoverished. I am also on strike, limiting MY personal income to basic family needs. My legal situation is that if I get a job, the FRO immediately takes half my pay to subsidize my ex-wife's addictions and irresponsibility, to the detriment of and with no benefit to my daughters. The FRO has also, in their infinite wisdom again chosen to suspend my drivers license, due to claimed "unpaid" child support which I have unambiguously proven is a fabrication. I have been and intend to continue driving. If the police care to make an appointment, I shall demonstrate this fact. Also, given the fact that the state believes I "owe" child support, this fake "debt" will be hanging over me until the day I die and will then be taken from whatever paltry estate I am able to leave for my daughters. It is very confusing to be simultaneously a single parent and deadbeat father.

I have also been and will continue refusing to file personal income tax returns, by simple reason of self-defense. My previous taxes have been used by the state to abuse myself and daughters by denying us the benefit of equal treatment under law, plus providing my ex-wife with unlimited and "free" legal aid to which I was denied, even after the courts impoverished me. The police who will arrest me for driving "illegally", the judge who will convict me of this and failure to be a tax slave to all of the

1/21/2007

unaccountable bureaucrats, who will try for my demise, all will choose to be criminals. The entire state is against me, performing illegal acts, to which all parties are personally liable per the "Nuremburg Principles" (following orders is no excuse for criminal acts), should the courts ever re-discover law. Since governments create no wealth and achieve power by force, coercion and manipulation, starving the leech is the surest remedy. Governments need to be reminded that the people are in control of their own lives and affairs, so long as they remain peaceful. If peaceful methods and reason continues to fail, history and current events shows the only alternative. Rule #4 of survival is: Do not feed your enemies.

It is absurd to think, that if social services cannot demand that my ex-wife seriously seek employment as a condition for entitlements that I can be bullied to work or earn anything more than I choose and hand over the fruits of my labors to achieve antisocial goals, harmful to myself, my daughters and even my ex-wife, by allowing her to continue to poison herself with alcohol and present a morally dangerous role model to my daughters.

My ex-wife has also demanded of myself that I help her in her frauds, under threat that she will inform the police of my illegal driving and Revenue Canada of my defiance. This is laughable. Although far too late, the state appears to have adopted a "live and let live" attitude and has left us alone for the last few years. They know as well as I, should this matter come before informed public scrutiny, heads will roll. In the short term, I am prepared for my head rolling, but, in the long term, the criminal philosophy, acts of this matter and the social cost of state created conflict and subversion of civilized survival values and law will cause many heads to roll and much upheaval. It is happening now on the world stage.

Neither I nor my daughters will help you in "proving" anything regarding my ex-wife. We have the highest compassion for her, but have learned that anything we do to "help" her makes her even more dependent. The system has completely destroyed her by promising entitlements and freedom from responsibility. Her purpose in life has been reduced to whining for entitlements and "fairness", paid for by exploiting and destroying the ability to survive of those who actually do contribute. Her immediate family and daughters have chosen not to associate with her. She is your Frankenstein's monster and responsibility, a political creation, to make it "necessary" to help the "unfortunate". Prior to state involvement at divorce, my ex-wife was working until it became "unnecessary" for her. To punish her or kick her off of social assistance would be a supreme act of cruelty after the state spent so much effort destroying what little personal responsibility and survival values my ex-wife had and I also fully intend to argue on my ex-wife's behalf as a manipulated pawn when my daughters are out of university, self-sufficient and I am morally free to engage in the conflict required to bring the criminals in this matter low.

Neglecting the personal cost to myself and daughters, in conservative estimation, this matter has cost the taxpayers of Canada in excess of six million dollars. From the perspective of my fellow citizens, this is a cost, paying for problems that could have been

1/21/2007

easily avoided by the state and courts complying with the law and proven facts. In addition, I have been prevented from participating in the economy and no longer design the products that once provided manufacturing and service jobs for my fellow citizens.

From the perspective of the self-proclaimed stakeholders in this matter, the above costs are really profit for dealing with problems that have been created by the very same “problem solvers” claiming a monopoly on “truth” and subjective opinion claimed to be “law”. To make me appear as an enemy of my fellow citizens, this matter is falsely framed to claim the choice is between me and my fellow citizens (the “state”) paying for the cost of my ex-wife’s irresponsibility. The option (LAW) that my ex-wife should face the consequences of her own actions, adapt, learn and change her behavior is vehemently suppressed, since it detracts from a key rationalization of state power. Given that the true purpose of law is to relate action to consequence (hold people to account for their own actions), it boggles the mind that the law is corrupt to the degree that it acts contrary to its very purpose, negating itself. The same can be said of the state in this and far greater matters.

The self-proclaimed “stakeholders” in this matter have not and cannot truthfully explain how peaceful co-existence, civilized behavior, social harmony and children’s best interests can be served by destroying either (or both) parents, impoverishing parents and denying children peaceful, stable childhoods. Further, impoverishing parents by creating irreconcilable legal conflict at separation can only impoverish children. People trapped in unwanted relationships with no exit (unable to exercise their basic freedom to not associate) except through divorce impoverishment is the root cause of spousal abuse, domestic conflict and child abuse/poverty. The money trail in this matter leads directly to the state and various corrupt professions, especially the legal profession and their multi-billion dollar divorce industry. In all fairness, Parliament and the Senate (Senator Anne Cools, MP Roger Galloway) did a multi-year, multi-million dollar study of the causes of domestic abuse and child poverty which recommended that equal treatment of spouses and presumed joint parenting be the legal norm at separation. In other words, they recommended that the courts obey the equality of treatment provisions of true law. This was rejected by the Justice department, in my opinion because the legal profession refuses to give up its multi-billion dollar a year “divorce industry” and all of the domestic violence and child abuse this illegal “social policy” causes. Recall that discrimination against Jews by the Nazi’s was also “social policy”, also legally enabled by denying the equality provisions of true law. “Social Policy” is a politically created term, Orwellian code really meaning “discrimination” by unequal application of law.

For the record, the “score” in this sick game is:

Aggression or actions or omissions contrary to true law and my children’s best interests on my part: None. I have harmed no one, including my ex-wife and have provably done my best (under very difficult constraints) for my daughters and they will be the first to agree. From the perspective of being judged for behaving in a moral, socially responsible manner, I win. From the perspective of how well I have “obeyed” our self-appointed masters who have the ignorant arrogance to think they can define reality and control inherently free people, I lose.

1/21/2007

Aggressions by state/courts: Placing my daughters in a neglectful, abusive environment with an unfit mother under threat of force should I disagree. Forcing me to commit career / financial suicide to eliminate the root cause of the conflict to convince my ex-wife to compromise joint custody after she was awarded sole custody by the courts. Trying their best (and failing) to destroy me and my daughters by depriving me of all human rights, freedoms, legal and property rights. Preventing me from: contributing to society by working/driving/saving for retirement/owning property/forced sale of our home, refusal to acknowledge proven facts and law. Threatening me with jail and placing me on one year's probation for speaking the truth in defense of my daughters. Refusal to hold my ex-wife to her parental and legal responsibilities, completely destroying my ex-wife's necessity/ability to survive. Pretending that I am an anti-social dead-beat father for refusing to act to the detriment of my daughters. Pretending that I am some sort of anti-social heretic, insane for attacking the irrefutable mythology of "motherhood and apple pie". Creating conflict and lack of security for myself, children and ex-wife. Creating a legal environment where profit can be achieved by parents manipulating children against the other parent (child support is proportional to percent time with each parent, alienating a parent increases support income), destroying all possibility of parental co-operation or security for children. There is far more.

A lot of Machiavellian evil/cunning/sociopathic/nazi thought has been applied to create this foul, immoral and illegal state fraud for the profit of those who devised and run it. It is not amenable to democratic change for the simple reason that the vote of every spouse who is enslaved is offset by the vote of the spouse who benefits and children cannot vote. It is not amenable to legal change for the simple reason that there is too much profit for the legal profession to pit spouses against each other at separation. Note that it is considered illegal and immoral to make animals fight for the amusement and profit of those who run such "shows". It is not amenable to political change since politicians love to pretend to be useful by "helping", when in reality they create discriminatory laws which create conflict, destroy diversity, choice and ability to survive, in pursuit of personal power. Personally responsible people do not need politicians to do anything except get out of the way, manage common interest (affecting ALL equally) under fully informed democratic control and suppress criminals (those who cause harm). It is irresponsible whiners, demanding relief from the consequences of their own free choice and financial vested interests who have the ear of politicians.

Thus, I say to the lot of you: The choice I give you is to immediately undo all the illegal mess you have made in our lives and generously compensate my family (ex-wife included for her role as a manipulated pawn) or be prepared to remain in the untenable position of unsuccessfully trying to destroy someone who has done no harm, broken no valid law (applies to ALL people EQUALLY), tried his best and succeeded, despite determined opposition to care for and raise his daughters properly. What I am really doing is unthinkable and was once called "civic duty and moral responsibility". I am holding criminals to account. I am doing the exact same thing that the German people were collectively punished for NOT doing, by tolerating, facilitating and allowing Nazi crimes against humanity using the pretext that discriminating against Jews and others deemed social undesirables was in the "common interest". There cannot ever be morally

1/21/2007

or legally valid reasons for harming people who have done no harm, abusing children, destroying families and the crucial values of personal responsibility and accountability. Now, in today's Orwellian world where knowledge and law has been subverted by re-defining words, my behavior is claimed to be "selfish", "anti-social" and "unfair" by refusing to "help" my ex-wife which really means to allow her to escape her personal responsibility to herself and daughters. I have this "crime" in common with all accusers who have demonstrated zero willingness to pay a personal cost to "help", while forcefully insisting others should, simultaneously reaping a hefty commission of political power and pointless jobs at the expense of social harmony and the necessary values of survival. Who is more greedy, one who demands the freedom to be left alone and to use the fruits of his own life (rewards for contribution to civilization) for family benefit and survival or those who demand something for nothing except relief from forceful retribution? I am well prepared to pay the cost of freedom and it does not involve enriching the state or legal profession, who are running protection rackets.

If you truly do want to "help" my ex-wife, as opposed to nurturing her "need" to falsely justify your careers and jobs, you can find her psychiatrists and doctors that she cannot avoid who will help her to realize that her life will not improve until she displays a little will power and makes pro-survival choices. This, as opposed to the set of drug pushers who have addicted her twice that she has been seeing.

My motivations in this matter range:

From: Sheer morbid curiosity of how my oppressors will again try to rationalize the irrational, moralize the immoral, demonize me, etc.

To: A grim, all consuming determination that those who are determined to destroy the necessary basic values of truth, honesty, personal responsibility, live and let live, peaceful co-existence, freedom, equality under law, etc. will perish and all of their anti-social works be torn asunder. Lack of these historically/legally proven values is leading directly to social/economic collapse, perpetual war and ultimate extinction of life on earth. The refutation of Socialism and fall of the USSR was a mere sneak preview of the larger collapse. We have a "war of terror" simply because states lost the pretext of "helping the unfortunate" (creating dependents, destroying ability to survive). Now, protecting us from those who violently defend themselves from state predations, enslavement and crimes is the new pretext for state power or "necessity".

I am well aware that the system including the courts is composed of many well meaning individuals, who feel compelled by their fear of authority, salary, pensions, rules and procedures to engage in morally/legally questionable activities. None of you see the big picture and how your actions which appear reasonable in your limited context are really contributing to the demise of ALL of us. You have been mis-educated, manipulated and organized to keep you ignorant of what you are part of and the role you play. If you don't yet realize it, money and doing a job you are not comfortable with is a very poor substitute for living according to the values of truth and morality (don't hurt

1/21/2007

anyone). A large problem I expect is for “privacy” laws (hide crimes), “lost” documents, etc to be used to muddy the facts of this matter. You can help by providing whatever internal information you can. I do not require this, it would be icing on the cake, a final nail in the coffin of criminals.

The facts are irrefutable; all that is lacking is impartial, objective courts with the moral integrity and courage to acknowledge the facts, the “rule of law” and an alert citizenry. Ironically, despite my legally oppressive situation, I am freer than most. All it took was realizing that the terror of the personal consequences of defiance is dwarfed by the terrifying consequences for my daughters and civilization of not defying tyrants. History and current events is very clear on what happens when the “rule of law” is suppressed and criminals wield law and the apparatus of state. Under these conditions, it is honest people who are jailed. This is my most probable retirement plan, free room and board, full medical and peaceful time to think and write some very pointed books until states collapse from their own corruption, internal contradictions and unsustainable costs.

In case you still don't get it, I have claimed and done my best to peacefully enforce the basic human rights to survival, living in peace and security for myself and daughters. Success at this means I must be destroyed before other people start to wonder if defying their predators is possible. State predations have cost my career, prosperity, home and much hardship for myself, daughters and ex-wife, plus a very large and ongoing cost of social conflict, lost generations of mis-educated, abused children and taxes for my fellow citizens. Every single reader is also under the impression that they have the same basic rights. Where we differ is whether the rights of others are equal to our own. True law is very clear on this point. When survival is threatened, true law grants the basic right to self-defense using a proportional response to whatever aggressions are threatened, whomever the aggressor may be or what rationalizations they may use. I have not yet concluded that defensive violence is necessary. Others who will ultimately reprove the necessity for freedom and equality under the “rule of law” have already come to this irrefutable conclusion.

I do not expect many to have the wit or courage to agree with me, but at least my rights to exist in peace, raise my children properly and contribute to society by working and using the fruits of MY honest labors to achieve MY goals should be tolerated. The cost of my peaceful tolerance of you is your peaceful tolerance of me. Until this basic fact is acknowledged and enforced, there will be no peace, security or civilized co-existence for anyone.

Virtually all of you, courts included appear to be subverted and mis-educated in history, human nature, basic morality and law. The truth is that our ancestors shed massive blood and treasure to establish freedom and the “rule of law”, the very basis of western civilization. In essence, true law states that nothing can be done to or taken from inherently free people without their fully informed consent. Using force or fraud (lack of fully informed consent) to achieve goals, by any person or group against others is correctly interpreted as moral authority (consent) for a proportional defense, including violence by any individual or the “law”. It is lack of this basic social knowledge (law)

1/21/2007

that is causing the destabilization of peace, civilized coexistence and the current march to world war, social, economic and environmental collapse. I have made it perfectly clear (by word and deed) which side I am on and that I am personally willing to risk what is really at stake, survival for ALL. Are you?

As well as my fellow citizens, judges are also waking up to the fact that their false philosophy, false power and illegal actions are beginning to become personally threatening to their own survival. It is not me who should be feared, it is those who have already and those in the process of concluding rational dialog is pointless, leaving only violence as a remedy.

Thus, maintaining your illegal position in this and greater matters is far from a "slam dunk".

Your move.

Regards;
Bill Ross