

20100731_NoticeOfObjection

To: Chief of Appeals
Hamilton Tax Services Office
55 Bay Street North
Post Office Box 2220
Hamilton ON L8N 3E1
Fax: 905-546-1615

From: Bill Ross
144 Oakland Road,
Box #7,
Oakland, Ont.
N0E-1L0
519-446 9971

Via Registered Mail.

With Extreme Prejudice;
To those whom may be under the impression it concerns them;

Re: Objection to [2004](#), [2005](#), [2006](#), [2007](#) Notice Of Assessments.
SIN: XXX XXX XXX

Dear Sir or Madam:

July 31, 2010

I adamantly object to the reassessments above. Copies enclosed.

Executive Summary: Until the law, as written by our duly elected lawmakers is impartially and objectively administered, in terms of equal rights and responsibilities for ALL Canadians and, me and my family are adequately compensated for past crimes and travesties of “justice”, we remain in a state of total war. And, you get absolutely nothing from me except defiance and costs, including major, ongoing loss of credibility which will far exceed anything you may manage to forcefully steal from me.

As you should be aware, this matter is a small part of a larger dispute with the Governments of Ontario and Canada for serious lawbreaking and refusal to address crimes against myself and family. My defensive response has been to use peaceful civil disobedience, for reasons outlined in [Schedule A](#) (enclosed). From your process limited perspective, the facts are thus:

Since 2004, I have refused to file personal tax returns, apart from 2008, which my accountant filed by accident, without my consent and against my will.

In January 2007, I officially notified various government departments, including Revenue Canada of my civil disobedience and reasons (no other peaceful / lawful choice) for doing so. You should have a copy of this notice, if not, it is also enclosed, as [Schedule B](#).

The state verified the veracity of key facts in [Schedule B](#), terminated the fraudulent child support payments (and I assume, wiped the arrears out) and collection activities plus, my drivers license was restored, which was also illegally suspended, for the “crime” of being legally defined as a deadbeat father, while in reality, a single parent attempting to deal with state predations and serious interference in our ability to survive. I considered this to be an obvious first step, the state avoiding compounding their crimes by persisting with their predations against myself and daughters.

In July 2007, I proposed an “offer to settle”, so we can put this absurd fiasco and travesty of justice behind us, learn and move on. This is attached as [Schedule C](#). Within this offer, I outlined minimal demands for settlement, repeated below:

- \$Thirty Five Million Dollars for myself.
- \$Five Million Dollars immediately invested in trust for each daughter, maturing (payable to them) when they reach thirty years of age.
- \$Five Hundred Thousand Dollars in trust for my ex-wife, to be jointly managed by my daughters.
- Drop all considered/current legal charges, social services repayment demands against my poor manipulated ex-wife. She was a pawn, but a willing one, chasing false, illegal promises of irresponsibility and survival without effort. She was confronted with a system which encouraged presenting lies to the court and manipulating/abusing her daughters appeared to be more profitable than working and contributing to society. The same antisocial/illegal behavior was shared by her lawyer, psychiatrist and courts.
- Put ex-wife on lifetime modest pension with full medical/dental, addiction treatment.
- Lifetime exemption for myself from income, property, sales taxes or reporting requirements.
- Change laws to prevent legal aid from being used for offensive purposes or any non-criminal matter. Note that, in order to qualify for legal aid during divorce proceedings, it was a qualification requirement that my ex-wife allege abuse by myself. Some judges have publicly stated that, when confronted with unproven allegations of abuse, they do not dare award parental rights to the alleged “abuser”.
- Change laws to exempt children’s University Scholarships from bankruptcy proceedings.
- Change (make compliant with true law) divorce laws to define marriage as a partnership between equal persons in pursuit of shared goals, repeal “no-fault” divorce laws, pre-nuptial agreements are legally inviolate, subject to mutually agreed amendment over time, joint custody, equal time, decision power with each parent, no child support payable to other parent unless proposed paying parent is unwilling / provably unable to care for children properly. This will allow children to see the consequences/differences between each parent’s choices/values so they can learn and choose personal responsibility in life, contributing to overall growth of civilization and mutual survival.
- Police investigation and criminal charges if merited of my ex-wife’s lawyer and psychiatrist for perjury, uttering false documents, obstruction of justice, abuse of process, falsifying court orders, defrauding Legal Aid and OHIP by charging them for representing lies to the court, being accessories to child abuse and any other crimes that may come to light. Punitive damages totaling all assets and insurance of above individuals to the state in partial repayment of this settlement. Stripping these two

individuals of all professional credentials to remove hazards to society. Garnishment of their future earnings to pay for cost of state support of my ex-wife due to their abuse of authority and manipulating her to falsely allege abuse, tricking her into falsely claiming victimhood, destroying her personal responsibility and manipulating her to behave in a manner which precluded her from attempting to address her problems or taking her rightful position as parent to her daughters who are now irredeemably estranged.

- All reparations above are tax free or after tax for the recipients.
- If these terms are met, I am willing to sign a non-disclosure agreement which becomes null and void if the legislative initiatives demanded are not in place within five years of settlement or if the police investigation and court proceedings are less than diligent. It is morally acceptable to me if I achieve the above changes, placing us all on the correct moral/legal path in the small but crucial matter of treating children properly and allowing children to have stable/secure childhoods.

The legal facts of this matter and my demands that the law be objectively administered and obeyed and the criminal perpetrators in this matter be brought to account, continue to be ignored. So, I maintain my stance of peaceful, lawful civil disobedience which resolves to: Do your job competently and honestly or, be fired which really means, no work, no pay. Deal with it.

The timing of this harassment does not escape me. No action for years on your part until I bought a home and got married and thus, became "extortable". In other words, if the law had respect for property rights (the results of my working, honestly trading MY time and energy (life) for remuneration), you slavers and tyrants would not be able to use the threat of initiation of aggression to threaten, bully and intimidate me or any other honest, civilized, law abiding person. I have called your bluff. There are two possible outcomes:

- a) You acknowledge reality and reason, blink and back off, in which case my fellow citizens will know it is possible to successfully personally defend their life, property and rights, despite a totally corrupt / criminal system which is designed to prevent this outcome.
- b) You initiate aggression, in which case, it becomes readily apparent that barbarians (those who survive by predation) are in control and, this is a personal and collective threat to civilization (the rules by which we peacefully cooperate for MUTUAL self-interest). It may take time, but, as consistently proven by history, the cumulative consequences (costs) of these crimes WILL exceed the tolerance of the majority who WILL AND MUST withdraw "consent of the governed", as I have. Unfortunately, it appears I am dealing with organized psychopaths (sociopaths) who have zero concern for the costs they impose on others, so long as you can continue to prey. Fine, make this choice and, face the inevitable consequences.

- c) Either way you lose. It is just a matter of time and how much cost and hardship my fellow citizens are willing to tolerate, on present course.

What is very relevant and pertinent (to you) is that Revenue Canada is claiming (thus far) that I owe a total of \$20,541.67 of which \$6746.93 (33 percent) is penalties and interest. Over the period in question, you attribute to me an average annual income of \$25,020. So, you are demanding nearly a year of my alleged gross wages when I am unemployed by the effect of previous government predations (taxes, encouraging unproductively) on our economy. Do you think this is possible for me or any mere mortal to do, even if I were willing, which, I am not? I totally dispute this, for REAL legal reasons, within the context of the Income Tax Act.

My alleged debt is based on estimates, by Revenue Canada, in the absence of my filing any personal tax returns, in essence, a guess. I am certain the judiciary (but not honest law) will agree with your right to do so (the judiciary are in a conflict of interest position, their salary, golf game, fine wines and caviar depends on keeping Canadians in servitude). Judges are threatened with non survival should they not comply with those who control their salary in opposition to those who actually pay their salary, taxpaying Canadians. This is a serious impediment to judicial independence and judges seeking excellence in their field / careers. Thus, the judiciary will agree with (better me than them) my obligation to pay, enforced by guns and property seizure (ie; the initiation of aggression, against me). Even if, for arguments sake, your estimates are accepted, you make the following factual errors:

- a) During the period in question and back to 1998, I was single parent caring for my two daughters, full time. During this period, my ex-wife was falsely claiming to be caring for my daughters, defrauding social services and receiving all tax benefits and entitlements intended to assist parents and children (legally, I should have been receiving them, but was denied). There is a rich history of my attempting to remedy this fraud and theft, including with Revenue Canada, to no avail. This formed part of the reasons for me concluding I had two options: peaceful civil disobedience or, start hurting those who were harming my family, by reason of self-defense. So, at a minimum, the alleged arrears need to be recalculated to account to the fact that I had dependent deductions and was, in addition, ripped off of all government parental benefits which my ex-wife consumed in alcohol, with many thanks from her and zero possibility of recouping.
- b) During the period in question, I received no GST rebate cheques to which I was entitled due to my low income. This also offsets any arrears owing.
- c) In 2007, I started living common law with my now-wife, who was a dependent. The deductions for this further offsets any arrears owing.
- d) I strongly suspect you used gross, as opposed to net income in your calculations. My accountant is looking into the veracity of your position.
- e) I suspect that, when all the facts you are legally obligated to consider as relevant are accounted for, that my arrears position will be zero or, positive in my favor. This will let your organization off the hook as to being a pawn in my larger war

- with the governments of Canada and Ontario. And, who knows, get off my back and I may decide that peace and being left alone for whatever time I have left is preferable to bringing down my larger enemies, who will fall and are falling, by the consequences of their own actions.
- f) As to your arbitrary penalties and interest, if the judiciary is to take the position that peaceful, proportional civil disobedience is an illegitimate defense against REAL crimes and taking the self-defensive position of not paying (supporting) a criminal organization, well, that leaves violence as the only alternative.
 - g) Violence, at this point in time is ill advised in this matter because the courts have consistently tried their best to provoke me to violence by their illegal opinions, which, presumably, I was expected to blame on my ex-wife's lies to the courts and "abuse" her in defense, to get her to stop being a party to harming myself and children. I have refrained from violence and will continue to do so for the simple reason that the judiciary will not acknowledge the self-defensive nature of any violence (despite the fact violence is allowed, as a proportional defense, by true law). The result of violence will be for me to be misrepresented as a criminal, a danger to society and I will be harshly dealt with. This increases the false social perception that we need more law and laws, to deal with those falsely deemed inherently violent such as myself. I suspect much social violence, especially domestic is, in actual fact, defensive because the divorce courts have upset the balance of power (equality in terms of rights and responsibilities) between spouses, making cooperation in marriage impossible, destroying the ability of the family unit to survive. I know for a fact, that, due to my ex-wife's family survival threatening behavior during marriage, I was seriously tempted to defensive violence, but refrained. On present course, my fellow citizens can only conclude that violence is the only remedy to the tyrannical unreason of our predatory system. This is fully consistent with the Nuremburg principles that it is a moral and legal obligation of citizens, a civic duty to fight criminals and tyrants, else be complicit in their crimes.

I am certain you will be surprised, but, I do believe in (and demand) "peace, order and good government", for ALL Canadians, equally. I also believe that, so long as government and law is honest, providing valuable services, most people, myself included will voluntarily (it is in our common interest) choose to pay something for common interest endeavors such as police, education, infrastructure and consumer protection, at competitive free market wages. When governments / law start acting in an unaccountable (irresponsible), criminal matter, "consent of the governed" is lost and voluntary support is withdrawn. The people conclude it is necessary to "starve the leech", to sanction criminal / antisocial activity. In other words, YOU, the government (our EMPLOYEES) are fired until you honestly and competently do your jobs. I know that, historically, governments running amok, out of control of the electorate and true law (sanction those who cause harm) have forcefully reacted to anyone who claims to not be a slave with tyranny. Fine, I am terrified, big deal. This does not mean that I will compromise away the hard won (by the blood and sacrifice of our ancestors, my own family included) law, freedoms and values required for peace and civilization. If competent people such as myself do not fight for the "rule of law" and civilization, tyranny can be the only result. I know far too

much history to be complicit in these major crimes against humanity and civilization continuing. And, governments and the judiciary need to be reminded that without “consent of the governed”, and actual public support, the line of civilization cannot be held against criminals and, we ALL perish. Deal with it. Government / law is not our / my master, nor partner. You are public servants, our employees. I bounce your own words back at you: “Obey or else”.

“Peace, order and good government” is not a possibility with criminals who act as if they are above the law occupying the bench. Any taxes paid will be negated by their predations, as in my case. My previous taxes have been used as an offensive weapon against my family and society. Until amends / reparations are made and the criminal perpetrators in this matter are legally sanctioned and compensation in hand, it is my moral / legal position that I must dissent with peaceful civil disobedience, at a minimum, else be complicit in these crimes. It must be: CRIME DOES NOT PAY. If the law will not do this, it is up to “we, the people”, the final line of defense against tyranny.

Further, I am totally baffled at the absurd fact that government seems incapable of recognizing common interest in this matter. When judges ignore fact, evidence, reason and written law, this becomes arbitrary “rule of man”, anarchy, the historical destroyer of civilizations. Surely, our lawmakers can see the threat? This makes them simultaneously irrelevant and places them at risk of voter retaliation, taking the blame for what appears to be bad law, but is, in actual fact, systematic lawbreaking by the judiciary whom have arrogantly mistaken their reflection in the mirror for God, determining who lives and dies.

From a purely self-interest, survival perspective, you revenueurs and government in general should consider the fact that you are totally dependent on the productive. [“Mathematics of Rule”](#) (enclosed) is a simple proof of what happens to civilizations when the environment required to be productive is destroyed, as it has been in my case and in general, as indicated by current grim economic statistics and trends. This is the REAL reason my dissent will achieve results. I am far from alone, except in telling you what I am actually doing, as opposed to most who have correctly concluded our predators are “beyond reason”. “Consent of the governed” has been lost, by far more people than I. You will not survive in the long term because support of the productive and personally responsible has been lost and turned to opposition. This means YOUR jobs and pensions have been placed at risk, by YOU destroying the ability of your prey to survive. At a minimum, from a slavers perspective, you are guilty of the stupidity of poor animal husbandry.

And, despite any contrary opinions, judicial included, this matter and all correspondence / evidence will remain in the public domain, on the internet, for all to see exactly what our “public servants” are up to. This is at <http://www.DivorceFraud.org>.

You should also consider the fact that my ex-wife’s frauds have cost taxpayers at least \$20,000 per year, for over ten years. This entire matter has cost the taxpayers of Canada an estimated \$6.5 Million Dollars and counting. And, you go after me for a measly

\$20,000, adding insult to injury? So, whose interests are being served here? Certainly not tax paying Canadians.

I also have, according to your “Collections Policies” pamphlet, the following other basis’s of appeal:

Circumstances beyond my control:

I have had zero work for the last two years as a consequence of Nortel bankruptcy and subsequent Ottawa high tech implosion, which I consider a manmade disaster of predatory taxation collapsing my entire industry and driving our best and brightest and industry from Canada.

Inability to Pay:

I am living on retained corporate earnings, near to being exhausted due to no work. I moved to Southern Ontario in hope of better prospects. No luck, thus far. And, to any potential employer considering me, the fact that I am involved in what I consider my civic / legal / moral duty to oppose tyrants and criminals makes me a leper, unsuitable for employment due to the baggage I carry, detracting from the time and energy (life) required to be productive for them or anyone.

If I continue to be stressed by this insane matter with zero possibility of reasonable / lawful resolution, all of my time and energy (life) which should go towards productive purposes will be exhausted. I will be forced (by no other option) to conclude that going on social services thereby stealing from my fellow citizens (and hastening the fall of our predators, by increased costs) is the only option, unless I choose to take the law in my own hands and acquire resources from those I consider to be predators and criminals. In either case, the law has put me in a position (deprived me of the legal and property rights required to be productive) where the only way I can survive is by crime, thus encouraging crime. This may be good as a make work program for lawyers and the predatory legal “industry”, but it is clearly the law acting contrary to its very purpose, of suppressing crime and dealing with criminals, even if they happen to occupy the bench.

I would also like to thank Revenue Canada for terrifying my newlywed wife with the prospect of our home and paltry property being seized and, should my daughters find out, also terrifying them. Personally, I am far beyond the influence of terror of the consequences of dissent, but very much in terror of the consequence of not dissenting and allowing the trends of state tyranny and enslavement of all of us to continue.

I am aware of the remote possibility that the government is pushing this absurd matter, just to lose and rein in our judiciary running amok. I’m game, but need to point out the fact that I have absolutely zero resources for legal representation, nor do I consider the legal “profession” to be honest, or trustworthy, a hard won fact from the school of hard knocks.

In case anyone besides me can see the big picture here, it will cost you far more than anything you manage to steal from me, without my consent, against my will. There is no financial profit for you, only loss. The only possible gain is political: To terrify any other uppity slaves who may get the insane notion they are free to live a peaceful, honest, personally responsible life and it is the job of law and government (our “public servants”) to insure this.

I am well aware that whatever is said in this matter by any party, myself included, are mere words, devoid of precisely agreed meaning (a consequence of educational subversion, a sustained assault on intelligence, knowledge and basic morality). However, at some point in time, you will rationalize yourselves into the FALSE position of believing that initiating aggression against me becomes “necessary” (Machiavelli, falsely framed arguments). Then, this matter will move out of the realm of intellectual abstraction, rationalizations, threats and searches for false pretexts to aggress against me to the REAL initiation of aggression (highest crime known to man and civilization), against myself and whatever little honestly earned property I have in response to what amounts to the morally / legally correct position of “I am not a slave and, my children are not property”. At that point in time, the REALITY of this insane situation becomes very clear and unambiguous.

In the final analysis, we live in an action precedes consequence reality. My actions have thus far been in full compliance with the letter and spirit of the law, as written by our duly empowered lawmakers. State / corrupt judiciary consequences to my lawful behavior have been attempts to prey on and destroy myself and daughters at very large personal and social cost. I have issues with these crimes and demand that the judiciary start obeying law in the matter of divorce and children’s best interests, plus, adequately compensate my family for these crimes. Since the state pushed my hottest of hot buttons (criminal behavior, aggressing against me and mine and society in general), I have responded with a proportional defense, by pushing your hottest hot button: refusal to file personal tax returns or to pay taxes to a criminal organization else be complicit in these crimes. You are still unable to “show me the law” which states I can be forcefully compelled, against my will and survival interests, to either file personal tax returns or, to pay taxes. These actions are legally voluntary, requiring my voluntary consent.

Now, based on the implicit threats of your assessments, we stand poised for a new set of actions on your part. Will fact / law and reason prevail or, will the state again initiate aggression? If we litigate, yes, I have had consistent experience with incompetent / corrupt judges, but, they are not all corrupt. The courts are a crap shoot. However, if the best I can do is behave in a defiant, non-subservient manner that forces government to reveal its true predatory nature, so be it. This will allow my fellow citizens to consider this disturbing fact and ultimately, administer the consequences to these criminal actions against “peace, order and good government” or civilization (the rules by which we cooperate for MUTUAL self interest).

You should also be aware of the fact that, by defying the divorce courts (and, obeying the law, as written by our duly empowered lawmakers), I have raised and taught my

daughters to be personally responsible, university educated, productive members of society, who are actually paying taxes as opposed to the ignorance, irresponsibility and dependency they would have learned under their mother's incompetent, ignorant, abusive, irresponsible stewardship, as ordered by the courts. By my lawful behavior / defiance I have added two more contributing members to society and prevented two more dependents (parasites), an increase in general social wealth. My enemies, by their actions, seek the opposite: dependency and servitude for everyone except them. There is no factual / moral / legal middle ground here. And yet, you persist on an illegal course that can only result in your eventual destruction as well.

Don't make the mistake of believing that I am under the incorrect impression that I am dealing with honest, reasonable people. I futilely continue to use fact, law and reason, but, my experience thus far has been that I am dealing with mindless force and "might is right". You really need to re-think matters and realize that whatever power you may be entrusted with is the property of Canadians, in trust to serve their interests. To do otherwise is treason at a minimum. Continue to abuse this power and, lose it and have it turned against you, as you have in my case.

Bill Ross

Date
