

**From:** phil.mccoleman@parl.gc.ca  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 10:26 AM  
**To:** rossb@sympatico.ca  
**Subject:** RE: Simply put: Why we should not be fighting and should be working together

Dear Bill,

Thank you for taking the time to write in and express your views. It is always important for me to hear from the constituents of Brant on the issues that matter most to them.

It is quite clear from your email that you are passionate about the nature of governance in Canada and you are very willing to share them. Please be assured that your comments will be given due consideration.

Sincerely,  
Phil McColeman  
Member of Parliament - Brant  
[www.philmccolemanmp.ca](http://www.philmccolemanmp.ca)  
(519) 754-4300

---

**From:** Bill Ross [mailto:rossb@sympatico.ca]  
**Sent:** August 10, 2011 1:18 PM  
**To:** McColeman, Phil - M.P.  
**Subject:** Simply put: Why we should not be fighting and should be working together

August 10, 2011

Phil;

Or, if you prefer: Hon Phil McColeman, MP, Brant

I was pleasantly surprised, with exceeded expectations regarding your open mindedness, quick grasp of issues and especially that you had prepared for our meeting on August 8, 2011. Now that we have met and talked, I believe I know how to “speak your language”. Further, per your request, I will forget all about attempting to bring up my “personal” issues, apart from how and why they fit into the “big picture” and long term threat to Conservative political fortunes and focus on convincing you and eventually Conservative strategic thinkers regarding the nature of the trap we collectively are in and the way out.

From a very high level point of view, for me this matter (law rationalizing by decree and not “equality in terms of rights and responsibilities” – rule of law) started in the in the late 1980’s (you may have heard about it) when I discovered, while doing my income taxes that, because of my marital status versus divorced, I was paying an EXTRA \$25,000 per year in income taxes, which I considered a bias (forceful sticks disfavoring) families, completely unjustifiable in a free society, to be forcefully penalized (don’t pay it and face guns of state) for making peaceful choices, a matter of coercion and social engineering, favoring some and penalizing others. I sued the government of Canada on this matter. The result was: GOC position (two inept lawyers): We can enforce whatever “social policy” we want and, GOC is prepared to invoke “notwithstanding clause” on this matter. I argued that government does not have the lawful right to discriminate on any basis except against criminals who initiate aggression, a consequence to criminal action (social self-defense, basic function of law). “Social policy” is a very dangerous Orwellian concept and is on the same moral / legal plane as the Nazis basic belief that those so decreed were subhuman, berift of full benefit and protection of the law. The judge

“bought” the GOC position and legally “blessed” the GOC position that they can decree that “some are more equal than others”.

In the mid 1990’s, I made submissions to the Joint Parliamentary / Senate “For the Sake of the Children” study / initiative (Senator Anne Cools / MP Roger Galloway) regarding the devastating effects on families due to the divorce act being systematically misinterpreted to pit spouses against each other, false allegations of abuse and lack of perjury consequences and what appeared as a major statistical bias against fathers. This report was tabled and rationalized away by “justice” who “studied” the matter and agreed that the terminology (custodial) MIGHT need changing. This matter was eventually relegated to the “memory hole”. When my honest attempts, including impoverishing divorce litigation are included, it cannot be plausibly alleged that I am some sort of rogue, unwilling to do things the “proper way”. I have tried, to the best of my ability and resources. The system is engineered to thwart justice and impoverish / destroy those who seek it. I am now on “Plan B”.

While I was single parent to my daughters insuring that they learned the values / skills required to honestly and productively join a division of labor civilization, I could not fight, since, IMHO, I did not and do not have the moral / legal right to trade off my survival at the expense of anyone, my daughters included and, the divorce courts had, beyond the shadow of a doubt proven their bias and willingness to break the law in pursuit of “social objectives” which, when translated to RealSpeak means: Maintaining “appeasement of the governed” and the short term economic / political advantages that can be achieved at long term cost of “Peace, order and good government”. My divorce was a sick game of “pass the parasite” because, if I and my daughters did not pay for the consequences of my ex-wife’s irresponsibility, the social systems would have to. Any attempts on my part to state the obvious that my ex-wife should be held to her legal responsibilities and face the consequences of her OWN actions were “interpreted” as “unfair” and “abuse”, on my part. In actual fact, I spent a year on probation for the “abuse” of leaving a voice mail (for ex-wife) stating “take a good hard look in the mirror and have another drink to deal with it”, in response to the third of seven inept Children’s Aid interventions, called in by third parties and professionals, against my ex-wife, never me.

By process of elimination, having eliminated all other possibilities, two key questions have been answered, to my and any other objective thinker’s satisfaction:

1 - We (yourself included) cannot have property rights BECAUSE, then, your person and property could NOT BE THREATENED to enslave you to the will of those who control the guns.

2 - We (yourself included) cannot be treated “equally, in terms of measurable rights and responsibilities, by law”, BECAUSE, then, your person and property could NOT BE THREATENED to enslave you to the will of those who control the guns.

Peace, order, and good government (what I once foolishly believed I was paying taxes for) has been denied to me and many other Canadians by:

Peace: Different “rules” for different classes of people, in particular, treating me at divorce as: all responsibility, no rights and my ex-wife as: no responsibility, full entitlements.

Order: Knowing and being able to obey the law. I was and continue to obey the law, to the best of my ability to discern it, or, in general “cause no harm”. Given proven judicial “discretion” and ability to rationalize away fact, evidence, reason and law, the consequences of our actions when judicially evaluated are UNPREDICTABLE. This is moral hazard, a trap, a license for the legal “profession” to prey on ANYONE, with impunity. Those with substantial financial resources may be able to fight this, but, it is beyond the ability of mere mortals who work for a living.

Good Government: A government which, by its behavior preys on innocent children, families and the values of honesty and the work ethic, cannot, within any plausible moral or intellectual framework be considered “good”.

So, I have been forced into the no “peace, order and good government” EQUALS no pay (taxes) position. September 14<sup>th</sup> is when I believe enforcement will start. I am doing exactly what the German people were legally and collectively

sanctioned (Nuremberg) for not doing: Opposing Tyrants. IMHO, successful resolution of this matter is far more important than my life, for the same reasons that Nazis had to be fought. This places me far beyond and immune to intimidation, threats and terror. By history, I fear the consequences of not opposing tyranny, far more than opposing. If the best I can do is to wake the public up to the fact that criminals (initiators of aggression) now occupy the bench, so be it.

Given all of what is hopefully not pretexts and rhetoric on the part of the Conservatives, what I stand and fight for is in full accord with the stated Conservative dream, not to mention objectively interpreted written law. How can you fight and win against someone whose only crime is fighting for his children, at incredible personal hardship, expense and attempting to insure that no other child faces the abuse and horrors that my daughters did? All in a non-violent (passive resistance) manner, where any opposition will clearly be unjustified aggression.

I found it to be no surprise that, as a political being, you view matters in a “balance of power” manner and were very interested in what constituency and organization (power) is behind me. IMHO, this is dinosaur thinking. Due to states consistently and inevitably throughout all of history breaking their promises (loosely, social contract), the very concept of states and organized coercion “for the alleged greater good” under the banner of some falsely framed Machiavellian “ism” is dead for the simple reason that states have again proven themselves to be: “a very unruly, impossible to control servant and, a terrible master”. Planetary revolution and social / economic collapse seems to be inevitable. It is not groups allied under the banner of some faux “ism” that is doing the credibility of coercive government in, or represents a risk to arbitrary power, it is the exponentially increasing public awareness that people just want to be left alone, to peacefully live their lives and attempt to prosper by contributing to civilization. In this realm, my ideas and proofs are king, able to sway all who are able to stare truth in the eye and not blink.

My minor parochial woes are a small part of preserving civilization (peaceful division of labor). The role of government is to manage common interest (all equally benefit and pay). The role of law is to deal with aggressors, criminals who disturb the peace and prevent “democratic excesses”, institutional discrimination against some peaceful groups / viewpoints, of which the Nazis were an extreme example of “inequality under law”. The role of “we the people” (your employers) is to control government and law when they start running amok, as in now. The trigger point is threats to collective survival. THIS IS MY POINT.

And, as any honest historian (good luck finding one) will tell you: When peaceful division of labor for mutual self-interest is no longer possible, neither is civilization.

You and your government are attempting to control Leviathan. I have been fighting it since the late 1980’s, have secured my daughters survival, am currently at a stalemate. When an accomplished engineer tells you that he can prove something in the realm of physical reality and you are about to be tricked, bushwhacked and smited by lack of this knowledge, you had better, out of pure survival interest, listen. You need to know what I know and can prove. The most absurd part of this whole matter is that I am perceived as an enemy, unless, of course, this government are mere hypocrites, armed with pretexts, in which case, we are really enemies. I will know you by what you do.

The only reason we are having this discussion is, contrary to what I was intended to conclude by my experiences, that the entire lot of you are evil slavers and child abusers, I perceived the truth: All of the players, myself, you and judges included are trapped and enslaved within a system that is beyond the comprehension of most. When you put a very interesting (bordering on insane) system in front of a system design engineer and further, threaten his family and self with said system, you can bet your bottom dollar he will get to the heart (basic truth) of the matter and, has.

Your move;

Regards;  
Bill Ross